



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings, Robert Fitzroy/Planning/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Las Pilitas Quarry Correspondence
From: Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO - Thursday 05/07/2015 05:42 PM

Jennifer Caffee
Legislative Assistant
5th District Supervisor Debbie Arnold
San Luis Obispo County

----- Forwarded by Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO on 05/07/2015 05:41 PM -----

From: Anne Davies or Dave Sommerville <80oaks@gmail.com>
To: jcaffee@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 04/06/2015 10:28 AM
Subject: Quarry

Please relay my position to the board.

Please deny the quarry. I am a long time North County resident, have lived near the intersection of Las Pilitas and Pozo Roads for almost 15 years. In that time I have seen the "traffic" increase substantially.

Large heavy trucks do not mix with school children, regular rural commuters and cyclists. I have witnessed many many near misses among all of these groups. Please protect everyone with a NO on the quarry.

Anne Davies Sommerville

----- Forwarded by Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO on 05/07/2015 05:41 PM -----

From: "thomas marre" <tmarre@yahoo.com>
To: <district5@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: <district4@co.slo.ca.us>, <district3@co.slo.ca.us>, <district2@co.slo.ca.us>, <district1@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 05/04/2015 05:07 PM
Subject: Santa Margarita / quarry access to Hwy-58

Supervisor Debbie Arnold

Re: quarry permit / controversy

1. Ist I need to say that I love the community of Santa Margarita, it is a very specials place.
2. But there are some other issues ..
3. The little town grew up around or " a thwart" a-trade-route" (hwy 58).
4. The residents of Santa Margarita do not now own Hwy 58. they have chosen to live around it.
5. Many towns have had to put up with the realities of being on the trade route.
6. San Francisco comes to mind / Hwy 101 dead-ends into it , goes on to the city streets and then continues at the foot of the Golden Gate Bridge..

7. 101 used to go right through SLO (Monterey street) and passed the mission, the mission mall was not always there.
8. And there are 100's of other examples I will not bore you with..
9. I am not sure the (residents of SM) have the right to have a 'meaningful' say in this matter. (regardless, it is appropriate that all are heard)
10. On a side note, it is a curious how many 'staff' members of SLO planning live in Margarita. It sheds a very special light on any report which " staff" produces on this matter.

I wish you enlightenment, leadership and inspiration in dealing with this sensitive matter.

Thank you for your time and attention

Tom Marre' /

----- Forwarded by Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO on 05/07/2015 05:41 PM -----

From: <kdouglass1213@gmail.com>
 To: "district5@co.slo.ca.us" <district5@co.slo.ca.us>
 Date: 05/05/2015 06:32 PM
 Subject: Las Pilitas Quarry

Dear Ms. Arnold,

I am writing to express my consternation and disapproval of the quarry . I hope when you consider your vote, you represent your constituents, all of them. I hope you represent the home owners in Margarita, on Parkhill and Huer Huero. I hope you represent the bike clubs, the elementary school kids, the business owners on El Camino. I hope you represent the promoters and participants of Savor the Central Coast . I hope you represent the developers of the 111 home project that the Board approved a couple of years ago . It's unfortunate that Cole and Souza have invested a lot of money. Had they put some feelers out before they started , they would have realized that the project was unwanted by the majority of our community . But they didn't. And I assume they didn't because they didn't care about what their neighbors and town thought . Unlike this project, the solar farm went to great lengths not to impact Margarita and the 58 corridor. They hired 400 craftsmen. They bussed employees. They monitored and accommodated the wildlife. They built a parking lot. And, they wrapped up as scheduled and basically have little or no impact on Margarita. Margarita has the potential to look like Main Street in Templeton or the village in Arroyo Grande. The quarry would most likely result in Margarita looking like one of the blighted towns on Highway 99. Please follow the recommendation of

your planning commission and vote NO on the quarry. Thank you for your time. Sincerely,
Kathleen Douglass

Sent from Windows Mail

----- Forwarded by Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO on 05/07/2015 05:41 PM -----

From: Robert Winslow <winslow.rce@gmail.com>
To: vshelby@co.slo.ca.us, bgibson@co.slo.ca.us, ahill@co.slo.ca.us, jbrennan@co.slo.ca.us, district5@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 05/07/2015 02:16 PM
Subject: DRC 2009-00025 Las Pilitas Quarry

Dear Chair Arnold and San Luis Obispo County Supervisors,

My name is Robert Winslow, and I own a civil engineering firm in San Luis Obispo County. I am writing you in support of the Las Pilitas Quarry project.

My support for this project comes from a unique perspective as a professional engineer and as a resident with

direct experience with the effected traffic routes. I have lived in San Luis Obispo County for 11 years. During those years I have had the opportunity to live on both Las Pilitas Road as well as Huer Huero Road. Additionally,

I currently I live very near the Rocky Canyon quarry.

As a Registered Civil Engineer, I have worked on well over 100 projects over the last 11 years. These projects include county facilities, low income housing, roads, schools, parks, commercial buildings and houses. One thing each and every project has in common is that they all need aggregate products. I specify aggregate products on the plans that I prepare.

At time I use alternate products as there is little competition for the aggregate supply in this area, which can drive price up and availability down.

During construction I review product submittals and change requests for aggregate products. It is fairly common for contractors on projects to seek alternative to the few suppliers of aggregate because of price and supply issues.

In some instances, we have even been asked to increase the thickness of asphalt to reduce the thickness of the gravel.

As we deal with our drought and strive to design projects to meet low impact design standards, the use of gravel and aggregates will only increase. All new construction projects are seeking alternatives to impervious surfaces. Aggregate products often are used to increase the permeability of the surface. As I am sure you are aware, projects are also required to retain stormwater now, in an effort to recharge the groundwater basin. This retention often happens in underground percolation systems that are bedded in gravel. These systems can be quite large and require a great quantity of gravel.

From a professional perspective, I see a need for more gravel supply to reduce asphalt use and

facilitate the construction

of Low Impact Design project. Our current suppliers are already struggling with demand, and soon will not be able to keep up.

Without an additional quarry, we could be trucking in rock from miles away.

As a resident on Huer Huero Road, I drove to San Luis Obispo six days a week. I drove on Highway 58 at least twice a day.

I know this road very well. Also, during this period, Mike Cole ran his trucking operation from his property on Highway 58.

From my experience I can tell you that I seldom, if ever, encounter bicycle riders on Highway 58 past Pozo Road. I can also

relay that when I did encounter one of the Cole Farms or any other large trucks, I always found the professional drivers to be

courteous and safe. The largest safety issue I encountered on this stretch of road was from the volume of traffic relating to the

construction of the solar plants, which has tapered down upon completion of the projects. I found passenger cars and trucks

on Highway 58 to be far more dangerous than the large trucks driven by professional drivers.

As a resident of Las Pilitas Road,

I drove on Pozo Road at least twice a day. Pozo Road, east of Highway 58 can be a much more treacherous drive than Highway 58.

In my experience the high volume of bicycle traffic combined with speeding passenger vehicles made this drive dangerous. That said,

I always found the intersection of Pozo and Highway 58 to have great visibility and was recognizably a point where the safety of the

road improved. In either situation, Huer Huero or Las Pilitas, I would have welcomed the introduction of truck trips by professional

drivers, trips that may actually have a calming effect on passenger vehicles and make the road more safe for bicycles.

I now reside near the Rock Canyon quarry. I encounter trucks hauling aggregate on a daily basis. The drivers of these trucks are

always courteous and professional. I have never seen a truck fail to comply with stop signs. I have never encountered one speeding.

I have, however, experienced one of the truck drivers preventing an accident because he had better visibility than a car at an intersection

and was able to warn another driver of a car that failed to stop at a stop sign.

In summary, my opinions are:

- The demand for an additional aggregate plant is present and will only grow
- An additional plant may help curb rising aggregate prices
- If we do not produce more aggregate locally, it will be trucked in, which causes environmental damage
- The proposed plant is located on a public highway that was built for the express of facilitating commerce
- The zoning for the project site is correct and consistent with its surroundings
- The addition of trucks to this route may increase safety for passenger cars and bicycles
- The plant provides for much needed jobs and tax revenue
- The drivers that will haul the materials produced at this plant are professional and safe
- The community of Santa Margarita will benefit from the economic impacts associated with this project.

I look forward to your deliberation on this great project. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me.

Robert Winslow, PE



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings, Robert
Fitzroy/Planning/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Las Pilitas Rock Quarry
From: Debbie Arnold/BOS/COSLO - Thursday 05/07/2015 05:56 PM
Sent
by: Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO

Debbie Arnold
Supervisor, 5th District
San Luis Obispo County

----- Forwarded by Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO on 05/07/2015 05:56 PM -----

From: "Tim & Janet" <thjcsaddleup@charter.net>
To: "Adam Hill" <ahill@co.slo.ca.us>, "Bruce Gibson" <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>, "debbie Arnold" <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>, "Frank Mecham" <fmecham@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 05/06/2015 08:39 AM
Subject: Las Pilitas Rock Quarry

Dear honorable County Board of Supervisors;

Please follow and adhere to the recommendations of County staff and the County planning Commission and DENY the application being appealed to your board for approval of the Las Pilitas Rock Quarry Project.

This project will have such long ranging profound negative impacts on the rural nature of our area and destroy, forever, the character of Santa Margarita that it is beyond comprehension that it would even be considered.

Respectfully Submitted

Tim and Janet Haley



To: Frank Mecham/BOS/COSLO,
Cc: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO,
Bcc:
Subject: FW: District 1 - Contact Us (response #385)
From: Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO - Friday 05/08/2015 08:43 AM

Vicki M. (Shelby) Fogleman
Legislative Assistant for
First District Supervisor Frank R. Mecham
1055 Monterey St. D430
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

----- Forwarded by Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO on 05/08/2015 08:43:41 AM-----

----- Original Message -----

From : "Internet Webmaster" <webmaster@co.slo.ca.us>
To : "vshelby@co.slo.ca.us" <vshelby@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc :
Sent on : 05/07 04:55:25 PM PDT
Subject : District 1 - Contact Us (response #385)

District 1 - Contact Us (response #385)

Survey Information

Site:County of SLO
Page Title:District 1 - Contact Us
URL:
<http://www.slocounty.ca.gov/bos/District-1/District1ContactUs.htm>
Submission Time/Date:5/7/2015 4:55:05 PM

Survey Response

Name:
Pat & Dennis Zimmerman

Telephone Number:

Email address:
pjzimmerman1@gmail.com

Comments or questions (8,192 characters max):
Dear Supervisor Mecham,

When it's time to decide the fate of the Las Pilitas Quarry
LLC
appeal on Tues. May 12th, please vote NO.

We live 1/4 block down from the proposed quarry & have serious problems with ALL the negative impacts it will have.

We are not NIMBYS! We have a son who is a dirt haul trucker; our lively hood was in construction; I personally lived, as a youngster, in the shadow of an open pit, iron ore mine - It employed my father & put food on our table - So I am in favor of mining operations in the RIGHT place!

Common sense says the location of the new quarry proposed, is a disaster in the making ... Going over ALL the reasons why would only be "stating the obvious" ...

PLEASE do the RIGHT THING & DENY the appeal ...

Thank you ...



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: HWY 58 Quarry Appeal
From: Debbie Arnold/BOS/COSLO - Friday 05/08/2015 09:54 AM
Sent by: Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO

Debbie Arnold
Supervisor, 5th District
San Luis Obispo County

----- Forwarded by Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO on 05/08/2015 09:54 AM -----

From: Shari Bone <shari.bone@gmail.com>
To: fmecham@co.slo.ca.us, bgibson@co.slo.ca.us, ahill@co.slo.ca.us, lcompton@co.slo.ca.us, darnold@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 05/07/2015 02:22 PM
Subject: HWY 58 Quarry Appeal

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I will be at the meeting on Tuesday, but wanted you to know my thoughts beforehand. I am adamantly apposed to this project.

I am REALTOR® and believe in property rights, but not to the degree that it effects a whole town's right to quiet enjoyment.

Have you been on this portion of HWY 58 when there is a bike next to you with another car or truck coming at you in the other lane?

It is not pleasant for the driver or the bike. I can go on and on with everything else you have already heard.

Residents of Santa Margarita cannot believe this project has gotten this far - it is absolutely absurd. Your planning commission has recommended a no vote and I am too!

Respectfully,

--

Shari Bone, REALTOR® / Property Manager

Patterson Realty

www.ShariBone.com



Fw: Support Las Pilitas

Debbie Arnold to: Robert Fitzroy, cr_board_clerk Clerk
Recorder

05/08/2015 11:01 AM

Sent by: **Jennifer Caffee**

Debbie Arnold

Supervisor, 5th District
San Luis Obispo County

----- Forwarded by Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO on 05/08/2015 11:01 AM -----

From: Mid State Roofing <roofwithmidstate@gmail.com>
To: fmecham@co.slo.ca.us, bgibson@co.slo.ca.us, ahill@co.slo.ca.us, lcompton@co.slo.ca.us,
darnold@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 05/06/2015 11:57 AM
Subject: Support Las Pilitas

--
Mid State Roofing

Paso Robles, CA 93447



las pilitas letter.docx

MID STATE ROOFING

Paso Robles, Ca 93447

May 5, 2015

TO: San Luis Obispo County Supervisors

FROM: Wade Einolander, Owner-Mid State Roofing

SUBJECT: Las Pilitas Resources Quarry

I wanted to inform the Board of my support of the Las Pilitas Resources Quarry and to urge you to approve this project.

The significance of a local source of material for roads, bike lanes and sidewalks cannot be understated. Currently, a large volume of these materials is trucked in from far away, adding trucks up and down to the Highway 101 corridor, trips that could be greatly shortened and reduced by providing a local source of materials. This not only alleviates traffic, but reduces greenhouse gas emissions and improves air quality.

This project is about a positive benefit for our county through new jobs, tax revenue and most importantly, a local source of aggregate material. The owners are local residents, meaning all dollars stay right here in San Luis Obispo County instead of sending profits to large companies out of the state or even out of the country. Since the owners live in the same town as the project site, they will be able to see operation at work firsthand. In addition, their past and future residency in Santa Margarita is a testament that they will continue providing for their community and being a community partner.

The benefits of this project clearly outweigh the potential impacts. The project is an area with a "Regional Significance" designation by the state for mineral resources, and the proximity of the site to another quarry proves this is the right project in the right place.

Please, vote to support Las Pilitas Resources.

Thank you for your consideration.



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Oster/Las Pilitas Quarry
From: Debbie Arnold/BOS/COSLO - Friday 05/08/2015 11:02 AM
Sent by: Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO

Debbie Arnold
Supervisor, 5th District
San Luis Obispo County

----- Forwarded by Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO on 05/08/2015 11:01 AM -----

From: kevin dowling <kdowling@consilientgroup.com>
To: darnold@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 05/06/2015 01:02 PM
Subject: Oster/Las Pilitas Quarry

Dear Ms. Arnold,

As an owner of property off of Highway 58, I am strongly opposed to the Oster/Pilitas Quarry. The Planning Commission made the right decision to deny the Quarry a permit. **I urge you to uphold the Planning Commission's decision and not allow this quarry to operate.**

Regards,

Kevin Dowling



Debbie Arnold to: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder
Sent by: **Jennifer Caffee**

05/08/2015 11:03 AM

Debbie Arnold
Supervisor, 5th District
San Luis Obispo County

----- Forwarded by Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO on 05/08/2015 11:03 AM -----

From: Michelle Matson/PubWorks/COSLO
To: Frank Mecham/BOS/COSLO@wings.co.slo.ca.us, Bruce Gibson/BOS/COSLO@Wings, Adam Hill/BOS/COSLO@wings.co.slo.ca.us, Lynn Compton/BOS/COSLO@Wings, Debbie Arnold/BOS/COSLO@Wings, Steve McMasters/Planning/COSLO@Wings
Cc: egoshorn@sbcglobal.net, slowroads@yahoo.com, Lea2skip@aol.com
Date: 05/06/2015 03:25 PM
Subject: Las Pilitas Quarry Appeal Letter from Bicycle Advisory Committee

County Board of Supervisors,

Please see the attached letter from the County Bicycle Advisory committee.



050615 Las Pilitas Quarry.pdf

Sincerely,

Michelle Matson, PE
Department of Public Works
County of San Luis Obispo

----- Forwarded by Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO on 05/08/2015 11:03 AM -----

From: Kathleen Wigglesworth <kathleenw@CannonCorp.us>
To: "fmecham@co.slo.ca.us" <fmecham@co.slo.ca.us>, "bgibson@co.slo.ca.us" <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>, "ahill@co.slo.ca.us" <ahill@co.slo.ca.us>, "lcompton@co.slo.ca.us" <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>, "darnold@co.slo.ca.us" <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 05/06/2015 03:53 PM
Subject: Support Las Pilitas

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My husband and I support the Las Pilitas quarry, and we ask you to vote in their favor.

They already have the rights to mine this property. The State of California has designated the area as one of "Regional Significance" for mineral reserves, and the site has been zoned

for mineral extraction for more than 30 years. We need to support local businesses who provide jobs in the local area.

They are good citizens. They have worked extensively to address traffic and safety concerns, noise, air quality, and water. They are reasonable and willing to work with the community.

We will be out of town when the Board of Supervisors meets on May 12th to discuss this issue, but we would like you to know that if we could be there , we would STRONGLY support Las Pilitas.

We respectfully ask that you vote in their favor .

Sincerely,

Kathleen & Colin Wigglesworth



SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY BICYCLE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Josh Olejczak, Chairperson

County Government Center,

email address: slobike@yahoo.com

May 6, 2015

San Luis Obispo County
Board of Supervisors

San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Re: Reject Appeal of County Planning Commission's Denial of Las Pilitas Quarry

Dear County Supervisors:

The San Luis Obispo County Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC) respectfully recommends that you:

- Uphold the Planning Commission's decision to deny Las Pilitas Resources LLC's request for a conditional use permit and reclamation plan.
- Support the staff's recommendation to deny the project.

BAC members are appointed by the County Board of Supervisors to make recommendations to the Board regarding bicycle-related policies and programs to promote the expanded use of bicycle transportation.

The proposed Las Pilitas Quarry is inconsistent with the County General Plan and poses serious safety risks to bicyclists unless significant mitigation measures are implemented prior to any operations at the site. If you reject the Planning Commission's decision and staff recommendation, we ask that you address our concerns:

1) Mitigation Measure Traffic 4b in the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) is inadequate to protect the safety of bicyclists traveling on State Route 58. This mitigation measure fails to address California's new Three Feet for Safety Act (California Vehicle Code Section 21760). The BAC recommends installation of continuous five-foot-wide Class II bicycle lanes from Santa Margarita to the quarry site. The Three Feet for Safety Act requires "the driver of a motor vehicle overtaking and passing a bicycle that is proceeding in the same direction on a highway to pass in compliance with specified requirements applicable to overtaking and passing a vehicle, and to do so at a safe distance that does not interfere with the safe operation of the overtaken bicycle, having due regard for the size and speed of the motor vehicle and the bicycle, traffic conditions, weather, and the surface and width of the highway. The bill would prohibit, with specified exceptions, the driver of the motor vehicle that is overtaking or passing a bicycle proceeding in the same direction on a highway from passing at

a distance of less than 3 feet between any part of the motor vehicle and any part of the bicycle or its operator.”

Permitting this project and its heavy gravel trucks without requiring Class II bike lanes would be the equivalent of blocking the road to bicyclists, violating Caltrans’ Complete Streets Implementation Action Plan. This stretch of State Route 58 is a key link to popular bicycling loops, including routes to Pozo and Creston. Unless Class II bike lanes are installed, most bicyclists would no longer feel safe riding in this area.

We support revising Mitigation Measure Traffic 4b as currently stated in the FEIR to require that this project contribute its fair share to shoulder widening per Caltrans standards and future maintenance for State Route 58 from the project site to Santa Margarita prior to SLO County’s issuance of a Notice to Proceed. As currently stated, Mitigation Measure Traffic 4b has an option that would require the applicant to prepare a SLO County/Caltrans-approved pavement monitoring program or a second option for the application to enter into an agreement with SLO County or Caltrans to pay for its fair share of impacts to State Route 58 for damage caused by heavy gravel trucks. Both options would defer bicycle safety concerns to some undetermined time in the future under the California Complete Streets Act. (The guidelines for the Complete Streets Act, Assembly Bill 1358, 2003, state that complete streets are designed and constructed to serve all users of streets, roads and highways regardless of their age or ability, or whether they are driving, walking, bicycling or taking transit).

The FEIR states that a Bicycle Level of Services (BLOS) analysis conducted by Caltrans indicates that the existing BLOS along State Route 58 under existing conditions is “F.” When the impacts of the proposed project are added to the existing conditions, the score worsens and the BLOS remains “F.” The Caltrans’ analysis assumed the existing conditions of State Route 58 has two-foot shoulders, which it does not. We believe the Caltrans’ analysis underestimates the already dismal score and request that the analysis be conducted again to reflect Class II bike lanes.

2) The Traffic Impact Analysis is inadequate because it is based on passenger vehicles, not gravel trucks. For bicyclists, travel trucks are not equivalent to passenger vehicles. Why was this Traffic Impact Analysis based on passenger vehicles when the Topaz Solar Farm’s FEIR reflected truck traffic as did the Sunpower California Valley Solar Ranch?

3) The planning staff acknowledged at the Feb. 6, 2015, Planning Commission hearing that the FEIR’s statement - “recreational bicyclists are more likely to use State Route 58 on the weekends when the quarry is not operating” - was an assumption and there are no data to support it. Public testimony and letters to the Planning Commission indicated that bicyclists ride on Highway 58 on weekdays and weekends. The BAC is concerned that this statement was included to justify making no safety improvements – or delaying improvements – to State Route 58. Delaying improvements would be inexcusable if it results in one bicycle injury or death caused by the lack of safety measures or prevents bicyclists from using this road because they are concerned for their safety.

4) A left turn lane for northeast-bound gravel trucks on State Route 58 is essential for safety. Without a left turn lane, vehicles behind the gravel trucks will swerve around the turning truck, potentially hitting northeast-bound bicyclists on the road with or without a Class II shoulder. An acceleration lane for gravel trucks exiting the project site is also needed so southwest-bound

vehicles don't pass accelerating trucks, threatening the safety of northeast-bound bicyclists and motorists.

5) An appropriate length of the access road to be determined by the SLO County Department of Planning and Building must be paved to prevent gravel and debris from collecting at its intersection with State Route 58 and posing safety concerns for bicyclists and motorists.

6) A screening/debris collection program must be implemented before gravel trucks leave the project site to enter State Route 58 to reduce the amount of gravel strewn on the roadway, posing safety concerns for bicyclists and motorists.

7) "Regular" sweeping of State Route 58 to be defined by the SLO County Department of Planning and Building must be implemented and paid for by the applicant to prevent debris from collecting on the roadway, posing safety concerns for bicyclists and motorists.

In closing, the BAC identifies State Route 58 between the project site and Santa Margarita as a key link to some of the most popular bicycling loops in SLO County and is the gateway to the Carrizo Plain National Monument. The potential for bicycle tourism in San Luis Obispo County is exciting, and we are concerned that bicyclists, including tourists, will not ride on this road unless adequate safety measures are required.

Sincerely,

Josh Olejczak, Chair
County Bicycle Advisory Committee
slowroads@yahoo.com

CC: San Luis Obispo County Planning and Building Department

File: Bicycle Advisory Committee – General Correspondence (CF 800.40.01.b)



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Support of the Las Pilitas Project
From: Debbie Arnold/BOS/COSLO - Friday 05/08/2015 11:33 AM
Sent by: Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO

Debbie Arnold
Supervisor, 5th District
San Luis Obispo County

----- Forwarded by Jennifer Caffee/BOS/COSLO on 05/08/2015 11:33 AM -----

From: Kristeen S <kristeen288@yahoo.com>
To: "darnold@co.slo.ca.us" <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 05/06/2015 07:39 PM
Subject: Support of the Las Pilitas Project

Dear Chair Arnold,

I, as a Cal Poly San Luis Obispo senior and a registered voter, am in complete support for the Las Pilitas Project and its local partners.

I believe that this project is essential for the future well being of this county. It will create more local jobs and also maintain local control while providing additional needed recourses to the community. I support the approval of this important project, and I hope that you too vote to support the Las Pilitas Project.

Sincerely,
Kristeen Sager

Kmsager@calpoly.edu